	CSDC SDN I
	DOCUMENT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	FLECTRONICALLY LITTO
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	::OC #:
PASHA S. ANWAR, et al.,	EDATE FILED: 2/22/12
Plaintiffs,	
- against -	: 09 Civ. 0118 (VM)
FAIRFIELD GREENWICH GROUP, et al.,	:
Defendants.	: -X
	·X
REBAC ENTERPRISES LTD.,	:
Plaintiff,	: 12 Civ. 3969(VM) :
- against -	:
STANDARD CHARTERED BANK INTERNATIONAL (AMERICAS) LIMITED, et al.,	:
Defendants.	:
	·X
BREA INTERNATIONAL LTD.,	-X :
	: 12 Civ. 3970(VM)
Plaintiff,	:
- against -	: : <u>ORDER</u>
	:
STANDARD CHARTERED BANK INTERNATIONAL (AMERICAS) LIMITED, et al.,	:
Defendants.	: : -Y
	••

VICTOR MARRERO, United States District Judge.

Upon review of the complaints and other papers filed with the Court in connection with the three cases captioned above, the Court noted that the complaints describe the same or substantially similar underlying events and operative facts, and assert claims arising out of the same or substantially similar actions against all or most of the same defendants, and that the actions are related to the numerous cases transferred to this Court by the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidation and supervision of pretrial proceedings as part of <u>In re Fairfield Greenwich Group Securities Litigation</u>, MDL No. 2088. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is directed to consolidate the three cases captioned above for all pretrial purposes; and it is further

ORDERED that all filings in connection with the consolidated action be docketed against the remaining lower number case, 09 Civ. 0118; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is directed to close the referenced higher numbered cases, 12 Civ. 3969, and 12 Civ. 3670, as separate actions and remove them from the Court's database; and it is finally

ORDERED that the parties to new tag-along actions consolidated above are directed that no motions shall be filed without request for leave of Court to do so. Any such request must point to exceptional factual or legal circumstances

compellingly showing cause why the subject of any contemplated motion has not been sufficiently disposed of in prior rulings of this Court in this litigation.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: NEW YORK, NEW YORK

22 May 2012

Victor Marrero
U.S.D.J.